# Technical Review and the Evaluation of the Application for Air Quality Permit Proposed Permit Number 36183

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This Class I Air Quality Control Permit is being issued to Snowflake White Mountain Power (SWMP), the Permittee, for the construction and operation of a 22 Megawatt (MW) wood fired generating station in Snowflake, Navajo County, Arizona.

#### **Company Information**

Mailing Address: 4801 E. McKellips Rd, Ste 103, Mesa, AZ 85215

Facility Address: 140 West of Snowflake, 277 Spur, Snowflake, AZ 85937

#### II. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

#### A. Equipment

SWMP owns and will operate the following equipment:

Type: Wood fired boiler

Use: Produce steam which will run a turbine to produce power.

Model: Babcock and Wilcox – 2 drum Rating: 190,000 lbs/hr steam capacity

Fuel: Wood waste or paper fiber waste. Natural gas as a supplementary fuel

Control Equipment Type: Multiclone

Use: Reduce PM emissions from boiler exhaust

Model: Barrons 14K35-0710

Control Equipment Type: Baghouse

Use: Reduce PM emissions from boiler exhaust

Model: Pulse-jet

Control Equipment

Type: Selective non-catalytic reduction

Use: Reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from boiler exhaust

Model: To be determined

Type: Cooling tower

Model: Marley mechanical draft Rating: 28,000 gallons per minute

The company will also operate the necessary equipment for handling of the wood waste and bottom ash. Such equipment includes conveyor belts and loaders.

#### B. Process

The SWMP generating facility is to be fueled by paper fiber from the Abitibi paper recycling mill and waste wood and bark from nearby forest salvage operations. The plant will have a nominal capacity of 22 Megawatts (MW), and will consist of an approximate

340 Million British thermal unit (MMBtu) boiler, steam turbine unit, a cooling tower, and wood handling equipment. The fuel is fired in the boiler to produce steam. The steam from the boiler will operate the steam turbine, producing electricity. The spent steam from each turbine is then delivered to condensers to condense the steam back to water for reuse in the boiler. Water from the cooling towers is used to condense the steam in the condenser.

#### III. EMISSIONS

The emissions calculations for the permit review process relied upon emission factors drawn from the EPA's <u>Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors</u> (AP-42) for wood residue combustion in boilers, final edition, supplement G, July 2001, as well as equipment manufacturer data, and performance testing. Estimated emissions can be seen in the table below:

Facility wide controlled emissions:

Tuelley wide collectioned chilipsions.				
Pollutant	Tons per Year (tpy)			
PM <sub>10</sub>	48.96			
VOC	22.07			
SO <sub>2</sub>	2251			
NO <sub>x</sub>	240¹			
СО	2251			
Federal Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	<10 tpy for any one HAP <25 for combination of HAPs			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on limits in the permit

Detailed emissions calculations can be seen in the attached spreadsheet.

In accordance with A.A.C. R18-2-406.H, if SWMP becomes a major source (as defined in A.A.C. R18-2-401.9) solely by virtue of a relaxation of an enforceable limitation, SWMP would be subject to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration program (contained in A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 2, Article 4) as if construction had not yet commenced on the facility.

#### IV. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

A. The applicable regulations were identified by the agency as part of the application packet. If necessary, the source is required to list any additional regulations that may be applicable. Table 1 displays the applicable requirements for each piece of equipment under this proposed permit.

**Table 1: Verification of Applicable Regulations** 

Table 1. Verification of Applicable Regulations				
Unit	Date of Manufacture	Control Device	Rule	Verification
Boiler	1966	Multiclone, baghouse,	A.A.C.	Standards of Performance for
		selective non-catalytic	R18-2-703.B	Industrial-Commercial-
		reduction system	R18-2-703.C.1	Institutional Steam Generating
			R18-2-703.G.1	Units
			R18-2-703.J	
			R18-2-703.K	
Cooling	TBD	None	A.A.C.	The regulations listed are
Tower			R18-2-702.B	applicable to unclassified
			R18-2-702.C	sources.
			R18-2-730.A.1	
Material	Not Applicable	Control Measures	A.A.C.	The regulations listed are
Handling			R18-2-702.B	applicable to unclassified
Operations			R18-2-702.C	sources.
1			R18-2-730.A.1	
Fugitive Dust	Not Applicable	Control Measures	A.A.C.	The regulations listed are
Sources			R18-2-602	applicable to fugitive dust
			R18-2-604.A	sources.
			R18-2-604.B	
			R18-2-605	
			R18-2-606	
			R18-2-607	
			R18-2-612	
Abrasive	Not Applicable	Wet blasting, enclosure,	A.A.C.	Relevant requirements
Blasting		or equivalent (approved	R18-2-726	applicable to abrasive blasting
		by Director)	R18-2-702.B	
Spray	Not Applicable	Control measures that	A.A.C.	Relevant requirements
Painting		attain 96% efficiency	R18-2-727	applicable to spray painting
Mobile	Not Applicable	Control Measures	A.A.C.	These regulations are applicable
Sources			R18-2-801	to all mobile sources.
			R18-2-802.A	
			R18-2-804	
Demolition/	Not Applicable	None	A.A.C.	Relevant requirements
Renovation			R18-2-1101.A.8	applicable to demolition and
			(NESHAP for asbestos)	renovation operations

### B. NSPS Applicability

The SWMP facility was potentially subject to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR), Subpart Db. Subpart Db is applicable to steam generating facilities with heat input capacities of greater than 100 MMBtu/hour that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction after June 19, 1984. While the SWMP boiler has a heat input capacity greater than 100 MMBtu/hour, the boiler was manufactured prior to the June 19, 1984 applicability date, and has not undergone modification or reconstruction as defined by 40 CFR 60.14 and 60.15.

#### V. PERIODIC MONITORING

#### **Opacity**

#### 1. Cooling Tower

The Permittee must conduct a monthly EPA Reference Method 9 observation of emissions emanating from the cooling tower. The Permittee is required to keep a record of the name of the observer, date and time of observation, and the results of the

observation. If the observation results in an exceedance of the opacity limit the Permittee must take corrective action and log all such actions.

### 2. Material Handling Operations

The Permittee must conduct a monthly EPA Reference Method 9 observation of emissions emanating from material handling operations. The Permittee is required to keep a record of the name of the observer, date and time of observation, and the results of the observation. If the observation results in an exceedance of the opacity limit the Permittee must take corrective action and log all such actions.

### 3. Fugitive Dust Sources

The Permittee is required to maintain records of the dates on which any reasonable precaution to prevent excessive amounts of particulate matter from becoming airborne is taken. In addition, a certified EPA Reference Method 9 observer is required to conduct a quarterly survey of visible emissions from fugitive dust sources. If the observer sees a plume that on an instantaneous basis appears to exceed 40%, then the observer is required to take a six minute Method 9 observation of the plume. If the six-minute opacity of the plume is less than 40%, then the observer is required to make a record of the location, date, time of the observation and the results of the Method 9 observation. If the six-minute opacity of the plume exceeds 40%, then the Permittee is required to adjust or repair the controls or equipment to reduce opacity to below 40% and report it as an excess emission.

#### VI. COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING (CAM) (40 CFR 64)

#### A. Particulate Matter

#### 1. Background

a. Emission Unit

<u>Description</u>: Wood Fired Steam Electric Generating Units

Air Pollution Control ID: Multiclone collectors in series with fabric filter

b. Applicable Regulation, Emissions Limit, and Monitoring Requirements

Regulation: A.A.C. R18-2-703.C.1

Emission Limit:  $E = 1.020^{0.769}$ 

Where:

E = the maximum allowable particulate emissions rate in pounds-mass per hour

Q = the heat input in million Btu per hour

Monitoring Requirements: Continuous pressure-drop monitoring

and continuous opacity monitoring

c. Control Technology: Fabric Filter

### 2. Monitoring Approach

Pressure drop across fabric filter modules or the overall filter and opacity of emissions exiting the fabric filter are indicative of the proper operation of the filter. High module pressure drops indicate filter bag blinding, plugging in module dust hoppers, or improper valve operation. Low module pressure drops indicate damaged or detached filter bags or improper module valve operation. High filter pressure drops indicates possible high boiler exhaust flow or overall bag blinding. High opacity indicates possible holes in bags or bags that have become disconnected.

ADEQ has included in the permit that if the pressure drop or opacity are outside the indicator ranges that will be established, the period will constitute a PM excursion. This will be reported to the Department as a deviation, unless during an EPA reference method test can be performed that demonstrates PM emissions were less than the standard.

#### 3. Monitoring Approach Justification

The CAM indicators selected are the pressure drop across the fabric filter modules and across the entire fabric filter and the opacity of the emissions exiting the baghouse. Pressure drop and opacity were selected as the performance indicators because, if the fabric filter is operating properly, as indicated by pressure drop and opacity, it can be reasonably assumed that PM emissions are below the emissions limit. In addition, the facility has been required to conduct annual PM testing.

The indicator ranges selected for pressure drop and opacity will be established during the first 90 days of operation. When the pressure drop or opacity is outside the indicator ranges, the event will be recorded as a PM excursion and reported to the Department as an excursion, unless an EPA reference method test is conducted during the event and it is demonstrated that emissions are less than the applicable limit.

### **CAM Plan for Fabric Filter**

	T 12 4 4	T 12 4 2
Indicaton and its	Indicator 1	Indicator 2
Indicator and its	Pressure drop across the fabric filter	Opacity of emissions exiting the fabric filter will be used as the
measurement	modules and entire fabric filter will	
approach	be used as the measurement	measurement approach.
7 11 5	approach.	C 11
Indicator Range	The indicator range for pressure	The indicator range for opacity will
	drop will be established during	be established during the first 90 days
	annual performance testing.	of operation.
Data	The data will represent normal	The data will represent normal
representativeness	operating conditions.	operating conditions.
Verification of	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
operational status	110t Applicable	140t Applicable
QA/QC practices and	SWMP is required to follow	SWMP is required to follow
criteria	manufactures recommended	manufactures recommended
	maintenance and operation of the	maintenance and operation of the
	fabric filter and pressure drop	fabric filter and opacity monitors.
	monitors.	
Monitoring	The pressure drop monitors will be	The opacity monitor will be in
Frequency	in continuous operation and shall	continuous operation and shall
	complete a minimum of one cycle of	complete a minimum of one cycle of
	sampling and analyzing for each	sampling and analyzing for each
	successive 15-minute period.	successive 6-minute period.
Data Collection	Recorded on Plant Information	Recorded on Plant Information
Procedure	System.	System.
Averaging period	Not applicable	Not applicable

### B. Nitrogen Oxides

The boiler is subject to a  $NO_x$  limit of 240 tons/year. The Permittee is required to operate a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for recording emissions of  $NO_x$ . The CEMS will be used as CAM for  $NO_x$ . The monitoring system is required to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 60.13 and 40 CFR 60, Appendix B.

### VII. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### A. Nitrogen Oxides

The Permittee is required to install a CEMS to monitor and record the emissions of nitrogen oxides on a continuous basis.

# B. Carbon Monoxide

The Permittee is required to install a CEMS to monitor and record the emissions of carbon monoxide on a continuous basis.

### C. Sulfur Dioxide

The Permittee is required to install a CEMS to monitor and record the emissions of sulfur dioxide on a continuous basis.

### **D.** Other Monitoring

The Permittee is required to monitor the oxygen levels in the boiler exhaust gases. This monitoring, along with the monitoring of steam production will be used to show compliance with the emissions limits contained in the permit. The Permittee will use the steam production values and boiler efficiency to demonstrate compliance with the MMBtu per year limit contained in the permit.

### VIII. TESTING REQUIREMENTS

#### **Boiler**

## A. Boiler Efficiency

The Permittee is required to conduct a boiler performance test to determine the boiler efficiency.

#### **B.** Particulate Matter

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for PM on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility, and subsequent performance tests every year. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director.

#### C. Nitrogen Oxides

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for  $NO_x$  on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility, and subsequent performance tests every year. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director.

#### D. Carbon Monoxide

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for CO on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility, and subsequent performance tests every year. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director.

### E. Sulfur Dioxide

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for SO<sub>2</sub> on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility, and subsequent performance tests every year. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director.

#### F. Volatile Organic Compounds

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for VOC on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director. This testing is being required even though there are no explicit limits for VOC emissions in the permit. This requirement is to ensure that the emissions estimates provided as part of the permit application were representative of actual emissions.

#### F. Hazardous Air Pollutants

The Permittee is required to conduct an initial performance test for HAPs on the boiler within 180 days after startup of the facility, and subsequent performance tests every year. Additional performance tests will be performed at the request of the Director.

#### G. Heating Value

The Permittee is required to conduct annual tests on the wood waste and fiber waste to determine the heating value of each fuel.

## IX. AMBIENT AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

#### A. Introduction

As part of the Class I permit application, SWMP submitted an air quality impact analysis (i.e. modeling analysis) to ADEQ which demonstrated full compliance with all required ambient air quality standards and guidelines. The modeling analysis considered operation of one biomass-fired boiler, one cooling tower (8 cells), and other fugitive emissions.

The purpose of the modeling analysis is to determine whether air quality impacts from proposed criteria pollutant and hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions will cause or contribute to a violation of any air quality standard, or worsen an existing air quality problem. Applicable standards/guidelines include the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAOS) and the Arizona Ambient Air Quality Guidelines (AAAOG).

The results of ADEQ's modeling review confirmed the original conclusion reached by SWMP. The proposed SWMP facility meets all required ambient air quality standards and guidelines.

The discussion presented in this section pertains to the results of ADEQ's modeling analysis.

#### **B.** Modeling Analysis Overview

#### 1. Air Quality Model

The Industrial Source Complex Short-Term model (ISCST3 Version 02035) was used to complete the air dispersion modeling analyses. The ISCST3 model was run using regulatory default options and rural dispersion coefficients.

ISCST3 is a steady-state, multiple-source, Gaussian dispersion model. ISCST3 is the USEPA-preferred refined model for estimating impacts at receptors located in simple terrain and complex terrain (within 50 km of a source) due to emissions from complicated sources. The ISCST3 model is capable of calculating downwind ground-level concentrations due to point, area, volume, and open-pit sources and can accommodate a large number of sources and receptors. ISCST3 incorporates algorithms for the simulation of aerodynamic downwash induced by buildings and can also address complex terrain using built-in COMPLEX-I model algorithms.

#### 2. Source Release Parameters

Table 2 displays the sources release parameters used in the modeling analysis.

**Table 2: Modeled Source Parameters** 

Equipment ID	Equipment Type	Stack Ht. <sup>1</sup> (m)	Dia. (m)	Exit Temp. (deg K)	Exit Vel. (m/s)
BOILER	Boiler	45.72	1.83	477	33.7
COOLING	Cooling tower (8 cells)	6.4	3.35 (per cell)	308	12.9
FUGITIVE	Various Fugitive Sources	Various	N/A	Ambient	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Above plant grade

### 3. Modeled Emissions

Table 3 indicates the criteria pollutant and AAAQG emissions for the SWMP facility. Modeled emissions for the biomass-fired boiler are based on 8,760 hours per year firing wood waste and paper fiber waste and 340 MMBtu/hour. Table 3 includes fugitive emissions.

**Table 3: Facility Emissions** 

Pollutant	Emissions (lbs/hr)
NO <sub>x</sub>	46.82
СО	61.06
$PM_{10}$	9.26
$SO_x$	68.32
Lead	0.01
All AAAQG Pollutants	1.32

### 4. Meteorological Data

ISCST3 was run using a five-year meteorological dataset from data collected at the Tucson Electric Power plant located in Springerville, Arizona.

### 5. Receptors

The maximum-modeled impacts at or beyond the SWMP process area boundary (i.e. utilized portion of the property) were considered in the NAAQS and AAAQG analyses.

#### 6. Building Downwash

When calculating pollutant impacts, the ISCST3 model has the capability to account for building downwash produced by airflow over and around structures. Building downwash effects were considered in all SWMP modeling analyses.

### C. Modeling Analysis Results

## 1. NAAQS Analysis

Modeling was performed for criteria pollutants to determine if the source would exceed the NAAQS. The results of the NAAQS analysis are presented in Table 4. Based on the modeling analysis results, SWMP has demonstrated compliance with the NAAQS standards for its proposed facility.

**Table 4: NAAQS Modeling Analysis Results** 

	Averaging	Concentration (µg/m³)			NAAQS	% Of
Pollutant	Period	Modeled	Background	Total	$(\mu g/m^3)$	NAAQS
$NO_2$	Annual	10.95	4	14.95	100	14.95
CO	1-hour	596.62	582	1178.62	40,000	2.95
	8-hour	225.31	582	807.31	10,000	8.07
PM <sub>10</sub>	24-hour	77.68	56	133.7	150	89.1
	Annual	29.61	17	46.6	50	93.2
$SO_2$	3-hour	352.53	71	423.53	1,300	32.58
	24-hour	104.93	24	128.93	365	35.32
	Annual	15.98	4	19.98	80	24.98
Lead	Qtr	0.02	0	0.02	1.5	1.33

The highest predicted criteria pollutant impacts, without considering background concentrations, from the proposed SWMP facility are from  $PM_{10}$ . Without considering background concentrations, maximum predicted annual impacts of  $PM_{10}$  are approximately 59% of the NAAQS value. When considering both modeled concentrations and added background concentrations (see "Total" column in Table 4), the highest predicted criteria pollutant impacts from SWMP's proposed facility are also from  $PM_{10}$  (93% of NAAQS value).

# 2. AAAQG Analysis

Modeling was performed for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) of concern to determine if the proposed SWMP facility would exceed ADEQ's guideline concentrations. This modeling analysis was performed on the 340 MMBtu/hour facility. Emissions of 50 HAPs were evaluated in the AAAQG analysis. The results of the AAAQG analysis are presented in Table 5.

**Table 5: AAAQG Modeling Analysis Results** 

		Max. Modeled	AAAQG	% Of
Dollarton4	Averaging		~	
Pollutant	Period	Conc. (µg/m³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	AAAQG
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1-hour	1.09E-01	2.00E+04	0.00%
	24-hour	1.90E-02	1.10E+03	0.00%
	Annual			
1,2-Dichloroethane	1-hour	1.02E-01	5.30E+01	0.19%
	24-hour	1.78E-02	1.40E+01	0.13%
	Annual	2.30E-03	3.80E-02	6.06%
1,2-Dichloropropane	1-hour	1.16E-01	4.30E+03	0.00%
	24-hour	2.03E-02	2.80E+03	0.00%
	Annual			
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1-hour	5.71E-04	4.20E-02	1.36%
	24-hour	9.95E-05	1.10E-02	0.90%
	Annual	1.29E-05	2.40E-05	53.58%
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	1-hour	7.75E-05	6.00E+02	0.00%
•	24-hour	1.35E-05	1.60E+02	0.00%
	Annual	1.75E-06	4.30E-01	0.00%
2,4-Dinitrophenol	1-hour	6.34E-04	6.00E+00	0.01%
•	24-hour	1.11E-04	1.60E+00	0.01%
	Annual			
Acetaldehyde	1-hour	2.93E+00	2.30E+03	0.13%
	24-hour	5.10E-01	1.40E+03	0.04%
	Annual	6.59E-02	5.00E-01	13.18%
Acetone	1-hour	6.70E-01	2.00E+04	0.00%
11000010	24-hour	1.17E-01	1.40E+04	0.00%
	Annual			
Acetophenone	1-hour	1.13E-05	1.50E+02	0.00%
Tiestophenone	24-hour	1.96E-06	4.00E+01	0.00%
	Annual			
Acrolein	1-hour	7.23E-01	6.70E+00	10.78%
Herotein	24-hour	1.26E-01	2.00E+00	6.29%
	Annual			
Antimony	1-hour	9.62E-05	1.50E+01	0.00%
7 Hitimony	24-hour	1.68E-05	4.00E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Arsenic	1-hour	1.13E-04	2.80E-01	0.04%
Tuseme	24-hour	1.98E-05	7.30E-02	0.03%
	Annual	2.55E-06	2.00E-04	1.28%
Barium	1-hour	1.10E-03	1.50E+01	0.01%
Darium	24-hour	1.10E-03 1.91E-04	4.00E+01	0.01%
	Annual	1.91E-04	7.00L F00	
Benzene	1-hour	3.48E+00	6.30E+02	0.55%
DCIIZEIIC	24-hour	6.07E-01	5.10E+01	1.19%
	Annual	7.84E-02	1.40E-01	56.04%
Panza(a)anthrasana	1-hour			
Benzo(a)anthracene	24-hour	2.29E-04 3.99E-05	7.90E-01 2.10E-01	0.03%
		5.16E-06	5.70E-01	0.02%
1	Annual	J.10E-00	3.70E-04	0.7170

	Averaging	Max. Modeled	AAAQG	% Of
Pollutant	Period	Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$(\mu g/m^3)$	AAAQG
Benzo(a)pyrene	1-hour	9.16E-03	7.90E-01	1.16%
	24-hour	1.60E-03	2.10E-01	0.76%
	Annual	2.06E-04	5.70E-04	36.21%
Beryllium	1-hour	8.27E-06	6.00E-02	0.01%
	24-hour	1.44E-06	1.60E-02	0.01%
	Annual	1.86E-07	5.00E-04	0.04%
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	1-hour	1.66E-04	8.30E+01	0.00%
	24-hour	2.89E-05	4.00E+00	0.00%
	Annual	3.73E-06	3.40E-01	0.00%
Bromomethane	1-hour	5.29E-02	5.00E+02	0.01%
	24-hour	9.21E-03	1.60E+02	0.01%
	Annual			
Cadmium	1-hour	1.54E-05	1.70E+00	0.00%
	24-hour	2.68E-06	1.10E-01	0.00%
	Annual	3.47E-07	2.90E-04	0.12%
Carbon tetrachloride	1-hour	1.59E-01	4.90E+01	0.32%
	24-hour	2.76E-02	1.30E+01	0.21%
	Annual	3.57E-03	3.60E-02	9.92%
Chlorine	1-hour	2.78E+00	6.90E+01	4.04%
	24-hour	4.85E-01	2.30E+01	2.11%
	Annual			
Chlorobenzene	1-hour	1.16E-01		
	24-hour	2.03E-02	2.56E+03	0.00%
	Annual			
Chloroform	1-hour	9.87E-02	6.00E+01	0.16%
	24-hour	1.72E-02	1.60E+01	0.11%
	Annual	2.22E-03	4.30E-02	5.17%
Chloromethane	1-hour	8.11E-02	3.60E+01	0.23%
	24-hour	1.41E-02	9.50E+00	0.15%
	Annual	1.83E-03	2.60E-02	7.02%
Chromium, hexavalent	1-hour	4.27E-06	1.10E-01	0.00%
	24-hour	7.45E-07	2.90E-02	0.00%
	Annual	9.63E-08	8.00E-05	0.12%
Chromium, total	1-hour	1.19E-04	1.10E+01	0.00%
	24-hour	2.08E-05	3.80E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1-hour	3.21E-05	7.90E-01	0.00%
	24-hour	5.59E-06	2.10E-01	0.00%
	Annual	7.22E-07	5.70E-04	0.13%
Dichloromethane	1-hour	1.02E+00	7.60E+03	0.01%
	24-hour	1.78E-01	2.00E+03	0.01%
	Annual	2.30E-02	5.60E+00	0.41%
Ethylbenzene	1-hour	1.09E-01	4.50E+03	0.00%
	24-hour	1.90E-02	3.50E+03	0.00%
	Annual			
Formaldehyde	1-hour	9.62E-01	2.00E+01	4.81%
	24-hour	1.68E-01	1.20E+01	1.40%
	Annual	2.17E-02	8.00E-02	27.09%

	Averaging	Max. Modeled	AAAQG	% Of
Pollutant	Period	Conc. (µg/m³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	AAAQG
Hydrogen Chloride	1-hour	4.76E+00	2.10E+02	2.27%
	24-hour	8.29E-01	5.60E+01	1.48%
	Annual	1.07E-01	7.00E+00	1.53%
Iron	1-hour	1.21E-03	2.25E+01	0.01%
	24-hour	2.11E-04	7.50E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Manganese	1-hour	1.96E-03	2.50E+01	0.01%
	24-hour	3.41E-04	8.00E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Mercury	1-hour	8.39E-05	1.50E+00	0.01%
	24-hour	1.46E-05	4.00E-01	0.00%
	Annual			
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	1-hour	1.90E-02	7.40E+03	0.00%
	24-hour	3.32E-03	4.70E+03	0.00%
	Annual			
Naphthalene	1-hour	3.42E-01	6.30E+02	0.05%
	24-hour	5.96E-02	4.00E+02	0.01%
	Annual			
Nickel	1-hour	1.93E-04	5.70E+00	0.00%
	24-hour	3.36E-05	1.50E+00	0.00%
	Annual	4.34E-06	4.00E-03	0.11%
Pentachlorophenol	1-hour	1.80E-04	1.30E+01	0.00%
	24-hour	3.13E-05	4.00E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Phenol	1-hour	1.80E-01	3.20E+02	0.06%
	24-hour	3.13E-02	1.50E+02	0.02%
	Annual			
Selenium	1-hour	3.42E-06	6.00E+00	0.00%
	24-hour	5.96E-07	1.60E+00	0.00%
	Annual			
Silver	1-hour	4.30E-05	3.00E-01	0.01%
	24-hour	7.49E-06	7.90E-02	0.01%
	Annual			
Styrene	1-hour	6.70E+00	3.50E+03	0.19%
	24-hour	1.17E+00	1.70E+03	0.07%
	Annual			
Tetrachloroethene	1-hour	1.34E-01	1.10E+04	0.00%
	24-hour	2.33E-02	7.70E+02	0.00%
	Annual	3.02E-03	2.10E+00	0.14%
Toluene	1-hour	3.24E+00	4.70E+03	0.07%
	24-hour	5.65E-01	3.00E+03	0.02%
	Annual			
Trichloroethene	1-hour	1.06E-01	1.10E+03	0.01%
	24-hour	1.84E-02	2.80E+02	0.01%
	Annual	2.38E-03	0.76	0.31%
Trichlorofluoromethane	1-hour	1.00E-01	2.20E+05	0.00%
	24-hour	1.74E-02	5.90E+04	0.00%
	Annual			

	Averaging	Max. Modeled	AAAQG	% Of
Pollutant	Period	Conc. (µg/m³)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	AAAQG
Vanadium	1-hour	1.20E-06	1.50E+00	0.00%
	24-hour	2.09E-07	4.00E-01	0.00%
	Annual			
Vinyl Chloride	1-hour	6.34E-02	1.70E+01	0.37%
	24-hour	1.11E-02	4.40E+00	0.25%
	Annual	1.43E-03	1.20E-02	11.91%
Xylene	1-hour	8.81E-02	5.50E+03	0.00%
	24-hour	1.54E-02	3.50E+03	0.00%
	Annual			

The highest predicted impacts (as a percentage of the guideline value) from the proposed SWMP facility for any AAAQG are from benzene. The maximum annual impacts of benzene from the proposed SWMP facility are approximately 56.04% of the annual guideline value.

Based on the modeling analysis results in Table 4, SWMP has demonstrated compliance with the AAAQG guidelines for its proposed facility.

### X. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

	Arizona Ambient Air Quality Guideline
A.A.C.	
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
Btu/hr	British Thermal Units per Hour
CO	
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
g/sec	Grams per Second
HAP	
lb/hr	Pound per Hour
lb/MMBtu	Pound per Million British Thermal Units
$\mu g/m^3$	Microgram per Cubic Meter
	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO <sub>x</sub>	Nitrogen Oxides
	Particulate Matter
	Particulate Matter Nominally less than 10 Micrometers
SO <sub>x</sub>	Sulfur Oxides
	Snowflake White Mountain Power
TBD	To Be Determined
**	Volatile Organic Compound